

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

	T			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,740	10/01/2001	Keiiti Ogura	12732-077001/US5246	3604
	590 06/02/2004		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W.		•	DONG, DALEI	
11TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	J, DC 20005-3500	*	2879	
•		•	DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004	*

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Application No. OGURA ET AL. Examiner Art Unit Dalei Dong 2879 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - THE REPLY FILED 11 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

THE REPLY FILED 11 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continuous (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ontinued
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See No. 100.07(f).	MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on fee under
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	9,1
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) L they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simple issues for appeal; and/or	lifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed an canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	endment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT p application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	lace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were n raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	1
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square approved or b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
0. Other:	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument provided by the Applicant deemed not persusaive. In response to Applicant's argument that prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the multi-film arrangement as in claim 1. Examiner asserts that the Examiner interprets that he protecting layer 8 of Sakaguchi as the barrier layer and the sealant member 9 of Sakaguchi as the passivation layer furthermore Sakaguchi discloses a dyhydrating agent and and an oxygen absorber between the protective or barrier layer and the sealant or passivation film. Even though, Sakaguchi fails to discloses the agent is not in a film; however, Fujita teaches a protective film which has water absorption substance, therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a absorption layer of Fujita between the barrier layer and the passivation layer of Sakaguchi in order to prevent the infiltration of the mositure into the display device. Thus, Examiner asserts that the combination of the prior art of record teaches the claimed invention.

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800